Monitoring Data Record

Project Title: <u>R-2214A</u> COE Action ID: <u>200330312</u>
G. N. M. I.C. J. T. L. (G., 2) DWO N. J. 011715
Stream Name: Mud Creek Tributary (Site 3) DWQ Number: 011715
City, County and other Location Information: <u>Intersection of US 25 and Industrial Park Rd. in</u>
Hendersonville, NC Data Construction Completed: March 2005 Manitoring Veers (2) of 5
Date Construction Completed: March 2005 Monitoring Year: (2) of 5
Ecoregion: 8 digit HUC unit: 06010105
USGS Quad Name and Coordinates:
Rosgen Classification:
Length of Project: 464' Urban or Rural: Rural Watershed Size:
Monitoring DATA collected by: M. Green Date: 10/18/06
Applicant Information:
Name: NCDOT Roadside Environmental Unit
Address: 1425 Rock Quarry Rd. Raleigh, NC 27610
Telephone Number: (919) 861-3772 Email address: mlgreen@dot.state.nc.us
Consultant Information:
Name:
Address:
Telephone Number: Email address:
Project Status: Complete
Maritaria - Landara sindla COE al DWO (404 11/401 C 40). Land (10/2)
Monitoring Level required by COE and DWQ (404 permit/ 401 Cert.): Level 12 3
Monitoring Level 1 requires completion of Section 1, Section 2 and Section 3
Permit States: NCDOT shall perform the following components of Level I monitoring twice
each year for the 5 year monitoring period (summer and winter): Reference photos, plant
survival, and visual inspection of channel stability. If less than two bankfull events occur
during the first 5 years, NCDOT shall continue monitoring until the second bankfull event is
documented. The bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring years. In the event
that the required bankfull events do not occur during the 5 year monitoring period, the
USACE, in consultation with resource agencies, may determine that further monitoring is not
required.
Section 1. PHOTO REFERENCE SITES
(Monitoring at all levels must complete this section)
Total number of reference photo locations at this site: 3 reference points, 2 photos at each
Dates reference photos have been taken at this site: 4/25/05, 3/20/06, 10/18/06
<u> </u>
Individual from whom additional photos can be obtained (name, address, phone):
Other Information relative to site photo reference:
If required to complete Level 3 monitoring only stop here; otherwise, complete section 2.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	ttach plan sheet indicating reference photos.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: The stream is highly vegetated for Year 2 Summer evaluation. Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, rivelence, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very this	lentify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings):
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: The stream is highly vegetated for Year 2 Summer evaluation. Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, rivelence, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very this	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: The stream is highly vegetated for Year 2 Summer evaluation. Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, rivelence, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very this	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: The stream is highly vegetated for Year 2 Summer evaluation. Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, rivelence, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very this	
Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, riv birch, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thi	stimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action:
Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, riv birch, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thi	
Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, riv birch, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thi	
Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, riv birch, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thi	
Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, riv birch, black cherry, black walnut, sycamore, tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thi	
HUHY HIE SUEAHIUAHK AHU HUUUDIAHI.	areroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, rive
nong me baewinounit was neouplean.	and on the mount with 1100 april.

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

Visual Inspection: The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

The stream is stabilized for the Year 2 Summer evaluation. As noted in last year's report the lower portion of the stream was reconstructed to have a better tie-in with the existing channel. Photos 7 and 8 were taken of the new alignment with the existing channel. NCDOT will continue to monitor this stream relocation.

Date	Station	Station	Station	Station	Station
Inspected	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Structure					
Type					
Is water					
piping					
through or					
around					
structure?					
Head cut or					
down cut					
present?					
Bank or scour					
erosion					
present?					
Other					
problems					
noted?					

NOTE: Attach separate narrative sheets to each monitoring report describing/discussing the overall monitoring results. Include the identification of specific problem areas/channel failures, estimated cause and proposed/required remedial action. This should include a brief discussion of any parameter that has changed significantly from asbuilt.

Mud Creek Tributary



Year 2 – October 2006

Mud Creek Tributary



Photo 7

Year 2 - October 2006



Photo 8